

Hello Janet,

I am unable to attend the city council meeting tonight and would like to submit the following for public record.

Today, November 1, 2022, I responded to a post that Council Member Jeff Wan made on his Facebook Page (his City Council Member page not personal account). After I replied to Jeff's post, I copy and pasted my same response to Jeff rather than reword the statement to the two other individuals commenting on Jeff's post. Later I was surprised to find out that Jeff Wan has blocked me from his page and is falsely claiming I was "spamming" his page. I would hardly consider that spam. If he felt that was spam, he could have deleted the two repetitive comments I pasted and left the original one with a warning about copying and pasting a comment more than once. Instead Jeff is choosing the censorship route and possibly violating the First Amendment by removing (or hiding) all of my comments and blocking me from even viewing his page to he uses to conduct council member business.

Here is my reply to his post, the same text was used to respond to two other people on his page.

*Let's talk about "lack of leadership". If you are going to blame the city council for the high turnover of city staff as a city council member for the last 4 years then you are at least 1/5 to blame. After all you voted to approve every single city manager since Gary Napper left the city.*

*Why is city staff turning over at such a high rate? What reasonable solution have you proposed in the last 4 years that the other city council members voted against that would have prevented this high rate of turnover? Unlike yourself, CW, Peter and Holly are all reasonable people that don't vote No just to vote against whatever the other council members are proposing. And anyone that pays attention knows that Diaz just waits to vote after you so he can follow in your shadow.*

*Why is it that in the 4 years you've been on the city council we've seen such a high rate of turnover? After speaking with several former staff, I can tell you that they are tired of dealing with the misinformation and harassment both publicly and behind the scenes being generated by Save Clayton and now Clayton Watch. Two groups that you have never once publicly denounced for spreading misinformation. Our staff can and will find better paying jobs in other cities that lack the misinformation and harassment that our staff has to deal with.*

*As I stated at the last city council meeting, you use your No votes for political gain and to help weaponize Save Clayton with misinformation. Case in point, the very day after I stated this the toxic people behind Save Clayton/Clayton Watch were spreading false newsletters throughout the city. Four of the 6 attacks against Ed Miller were about The Olivia and generated from your refusal to admit that project was in full local and state compliance and that you still to this day cannot offer a legal reason for voting No. Weaponizing your No votes for political gain makes you deserve more than 1/5 of the blame for the high rate of staff turnover. As a matter of fact, you're more the reason for this high rate of turnover than any other sitting council member.*

*If you want to talk about a lack of leadership, start with taking a good long look at the man staring back at you in the mirror.*