



MINUTES

PLANNING COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING VIRTUAL PLANNING COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING

TUESDAY, January 12, 2021
7:00 P.M.

CALL TO ORDER
7:00 P.M.

1. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

2. ROLL CALL

Chair Chippero, Vice Chair Denslow, Commissioner Atwal, Commissioner Gavidia, and vacant seat

3. PRESENTATION AND ANNOUNCEMENTS:

Community Development Director:

- Announced the Planning Commission Vacancy Recruitment

4. ACCEPTANCE OF THE AGENDA:

Acceptance by consensus (4-0-0-1) (vacant)

5. PUBLIC COMMENT (Non-Agenda Items):

None

6. CONSENT CALENDAR

A. MINUTES:

Planning Commission Minutes of October 27, 2020.
Planning Commission Minutes of November 2, 2020
Planning Commission Minutes of November 24, 2020
Planning Commission Minutes of December 8, 2020
Planning Commission Minutes of December 22, 2020

Commissioner Atwal

- Why do we have minutes from 4 meetings at same time
- How can the minutes be corrected from 2 months ago
 - o Can only remember from the 22nd
- December 22nd minutes
 - o Minutes do not accurately said and ignored what I said
 - o Package was different
 - o Made a comment on the affordable unit

- The whole house and in-law unit
- December 8th minutes
 - Size of units being more than allowed
 - If this is looked at in future the public will not know what was talked about
 - There was three and half hours of discussion
 - As presented now, they won't even think about what happened in the meeting
- The comments submitted are very superficial and not correct
- Detailed minutes and highlights are two different things
- I have been in 30 million dollar projects where consultants were fired for minutes
- These minutes don't reflect what was there
- Agrees with City Council as Terri explained it
- Not asking for detailed minutes of every point
- Accept bullet point of what was discussed .. this is far away from detailed
 - Example retaining wall was discussed
 - Not to mention discussion is vague
- 1 page or 1 ½ page is not correct
- This not a City Council issue, this is an issue to the Director and City Manager
- There is not consistency between our minutes and there
- This is beyond reduced, this nothing ... not even representing
-

Commissioner Gavidia

- City Council voted to have shortened minutes and refer to videos
- I believe detailed minutes, but Council voted
- Would like stenographer, but probably not feasible
- Would like some consistency
 - City Council decreed for shortened minutes
- A couple of the Council sit on other Boards and they do not do any minutes
- Many objected to the condensed minutes
- Echoed Commissioner Altwal concern with the condensed minutes
- Believes in very detailed minutes or stenographer
- Echoed Commissioner Altwal comment for detailed minutes
- Suggested that one or all the Planning Commissioners request the City Council go back to way the minutes used to be done
- Understands that there are not staff resources to do the detailed minutes or verbatim minutes
- Who is the arbiter of the details?
 - How Commissioner Altwal feels about the minutes is the same I feel about the Council minutes
- There needs to be consistency set by Council
 - Staff only follows orders
- Pulled second so Commissioner Altwal could address his concerns

Vice Chair Denslow

- December 8th minutes
 - A lot of detailed questions
 - Would like to see details, not all the details
 - Not okay with the methodology with these minutes
 - More detail
 - States comments but not what the question was or answer
- The section about Commissioner Altwal questions and mine re-written
- Even with notes, hard to recall what was asked
- No specific and questions about the method

- Revert back to how it was done in the past
- Not suggesting that detailed minutes, just calcification on the bullet points
 - o Comment on access retention pond does reflect the comments about the retention pond
- Use same format as City Clerk
- Agree not enough staff resource to do the detailed minutes
- Agree with consistency
- Minutes are lacking that consistency of City Council minutes

Chair Chiperro

- Decmber 8th minutes - Clarification of which number was correct
- December 22nd minutes – Clarify that the City post the agendas to City Facebook and Nextdoor City sites.

MOTiON: Table the minutes and vote 3-0-1-1 (Abstain Commissioner Altwal, Vacant)

7. PUBLIC HEARINGS

None

8. ACTION ITEMS

None

9. PLANNING COMMISSION REQUESTS AND UPCOMING AGENDA DEVELOPMENT

A. Planning Commission request to bring the Housing Accountability Act (HAA) for discussion. Does the Planning Commission want a presentation and report or an open forum discussion?

Commissioner Altwal

- I made request for parking at Stranahan in November and Denslow made in December, over 40 days ago. Why?
- How come my request is over 40 days and tabled somewhere and why is Terri's up for action?

Director Feske

- This is not for action, just clarification on discussion for a future agenda
 - o Open forum or presentation
- All Planning Commission requests will be on a future agenda

Vice Chair Denslow

- There is a subdivision D and J. What is the difference?
- Clarification of when it applies
- Either a presentation or report, no preference

Chair Chiperro

- Discussion on Planning Commission rotation
 - o Would like to go back to rotation
 - o Good for public to see the Planning Commissioners and good for the Council
- Cannot force a Commissioner to report if they don't want to
- Rotate between Chair and Vice Chair
- Asked Commissioner Altwal to be back
- Move this along to help keep the peace and asked Vice Chair Denslow to report at next meeting

Commissioner Gavidia

- The ones in leadership should be the ones reporting
- If you want a leadership then you take on that role (not directed at anyone)
- Decorum and protocol has been thrown out in this body and the Council
- It cannot be stated that this is how we used to do it
- Consistency – Either we do as we used to or not
- Like the new format of Chair and Vice Chair do the report and it is formal
- Decline to do the reporting
- Decorum and protocol is no longer
-

Commissioner Altwal

- It should be rotation
- It should not be all left to you two (Chair and Vice Chair)
- Commissioner should report and Commissioner is backup
- Part of the duties of the Planning Commissioners
- Statement that Commissioner Gavidia not politicize this Commission
- No protocol of re-vote that is Democracy
- (Commissioner Gavidia) He lost and does not stop doing his duty as a Commissioner
 - o If Planning Commissioner requires or votes on that we represent then you need to step and represent like everybody
 - o Everybody's time is just as important as your Commissioner Gavidia
 - o We took a vote and you lost and that is fine
 - o It's what Democracy is and if don't agree with Democracy then we have another issue
- If Chair you want a vote, lets take a vote right now (rotation)
- Having a Commissioner throwing a tantrum by not volunteering is not something acceptable
- For God Sake
- I will do whatever the Commissioner want

Vice Chair Denslow

- Good either way
- Agreed to report

10. ADJOURNMENT

7:57PM