

Public Comment Received before 5:00 PM on June 4, 2024

From: [Rosy Straka](#)
To: [City Clerk](#)
Subject: Comments for Non Agenda Items - City Council Meeting June 4, 2024
Date: Tuesday, June 4, 2024 4:37:56 PM

You don't often get email from rosystrika@yahoo.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

Good Evening Council,

I wanted to say what a wonderful event we had on Sunday - the Third Annual Clayton Pride Parade. We received many great comments from parade goers, vendors and marchers. My Line Dance class marched in the parade. When I went to class this morning, one of the ladies who participated and lives in Alamo, said she had a wonderful experience. She said all the people were so friendly, happy and she loved the family feel. This comment was left on the 'garbage' (see next paragraph) post: The event was beautiful, super organized, family friendly, so many nice people and it was truly a happy time! The opening ceremony was beautiful, with heartfelt speeches about how difficult it was to be gay in this community. Mark DeSaulnier also spoke of the hardships his brothers endured. All were in agreement that events like this help everyone feel welcome and loved. As Holly said, "If this event saves just one life, then we've done our job."

I was happy to see that the staff had the fountain turned on as requested. Sadly, when we arrived at 6:30 am to set up, the garbage cans were overflowing. As I was marking the walk with chalk for our booths, I was picking up garbage in the Grove left from the day before. Someone on NextDoor suggested that 'Pride' left a giant mess. This is not true. We arrived to a mess. Republic Services donated additional trash bins which we collected and returned after the event. There was a birthday party after our event. They were the ones who left a mess as the garbage cans were already overflowing. With the exorbitant amount of fees that have been imposed on us, I would have at least expected fresh trash cans. There was also a comment on our feedback form that toilet paper ran out early and no one replaced it.

It was quite embarrassing that we had Mayors and Vice Mayors from neighboring cities but our own Mayor and Vice Mayor did not show their faces. Only two of our City Council members attended and marched — Peter Cloven and Holly Tillman. I'm literally sitting here shaking my head.

Thank you for taking the time to read this and think about how to be more engaged with a community that needs us.

Rosy Straka
26 Year Clayton Resident, Volunteer, Secretary of Clayton Pride

From: [Larry Theis](#)
To: [Stephanie Cabrera-Brown](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: GHAD
Date: Monday, June 3, 2024 12:47:46 PM

Hello Alice,

If you would like we can schedule a time for a phone call and I would be happy to answer your questions below. Your home is within the GHAD and subject to the assessment and has always been in the GHAD. The most recent area of landslide concern was the Kelok Way area from 20+ years ago. There is currently no identified area of geologic hazard in the open space behind Windmill Canyon Rd. Areas of risk does vary throughout the GHAD- for example homes along Indian Wells (flat area) are less likely to have a geologic hazard than homes on Keller Canyon or Peacock Creek- and therefore their assessment are lower.

A future ballot measure would be for properties within the GHAD only; not citywide. Yes- the increased assessments being proposed would likely be tiered based on risk.

Larry Theis
City Engineer
City of Clayton

On Jun 3, 2024, at 12:36 PM, Stephanie Cabrera-Brown
<stephaniecb@claytonca.gov> wrote:

Good afternoon, Council and Staff:

Please see the email message below from Alice Bristol (cc'd) regarding the GHAD.

Staff,

If a response is provided prior to the meeting, please cc me so that I can include the response in the Public Comment packet.

Best,
Stephanie

From: Alice Bristol <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, June 1, 2024 5:17 PM
To: City Clerk <cityclerk@claytonca.gov>
Subject: GHAD

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

I tried to send this message to the city manager but it failed to send. If possible can you forward it to him please?
Thank you!

To Clayton City Manager:

I would like some information and clarification in regards to the latest GHAD report before the upcoming meeting. When I moved to Clayton I was aware of the GHAD issue and talked to an official in City Hall and also my real estate agent who both assured me that our house was not a part of the area of concern. However in the latest map shown at the last city council meeting it is included. Here are my questions:

1. Has the map changed to include more homes?
2. Our address is [REDACTED] Windmill Canyon. Is the danger from the hill directly behind us or land movement coming from the other direction up by Kelok?
3. Are there different levels of concern within this map? Are some areas more at risk and some less?
4. Are you recommending a tax vote for the entire city or just the addresses on this map?
5. If the answer to number 3 is yes and number 4 is a tax for only the addresses on the map would you consider different amounts of tax for different levels of risk?

Thank you,
Alice Bristol

Sent from my iPhone