

From: Steeringman@gmail.com
To: [Carl Wolfe](#); [Peter Cloven](#); [Letecia "Holly" Tillman](#); [Jim Diaz](#); [Jeff Wan](#); [Janet Calderon](#); [Reina Schwartz](#); [Laura Hoffmeister](#)
Subject: New Resident
Date: Friday, April 23, 2021 2:26:41 PM

Dear Clayton Mayor, Council, City Manager and City Clerk,

Will you help with this? I recently read about the new housing bills in the legislature, SB9 and SB10. These look like some of the worst legislation in years. In the Senate Housing Committee review of SB 9, over 100 cities, 75 organizations and 235 individuals opposed, yet the housing committee passed SB 9. I would class the initiators of such regulation as tyrants. In my further review of these bills I came across the following organizations that want to **increase** rather than decrease our **local control** over our city.

1. California Cities for Local Control: <https://localcontrolca.com/>
2. United Neighbors: <https://www.unitedneighbors.net/>
3. Livable California: <https://www.livablecalifornia.org/>
4. Catalysts: <https://catalystsca.org/>
5. Los Altos Residents: <https://www.losaltosresidents.org/sb-9-sb-10-housing-bills>
6. Tam Almonte: <http://tamalmonite.org/>
7. And there are many others.

To counter the legislative take over I would like to see you do all of the following:

1. Pass a resolution opposing the legislative take over.
See <https://localcontrolca.com/> for examples. Numbers count. Be one of them. There are already 64.
2. Contact our Senate and Assembly member and let them know that this behavior is entirely unacceptable and that you will do your best to provide them with some bad press if they do not oppose.
3. Inform the rest of our city residents, maybe with a mailing, of what is happening in Sacramento and what you are doing to counter it.
4. DEMAND that our legislators to pass a measure that repeals SB828 and SB35 that are currently so damaging by creating Falsified (yes, Falsified) RHNA numbers that are unattainable and then punishing cities for not achieving them.
5. DEMAND changes to the ADU laws that will require cities to decide on parking requirements.

I want my single family neighborhood to stay as such. I do not want the overcrowding, noise, loss of urban canopy, additional pollution, traffic congestion and lack of parking that SB9 and SB10 will produce. And oh yes, don't ignore the need for increased taxes and fees to pay for increased utility capacity as density increases. Taxes are already too high in this state. And, I'm sure that there is not enough water and sewage and electrical capacity to handle increasing density that these bills could produce.

Please reply with your thoughts.

Thanks,
Chris
310-693-3004

Janet Calderon

From: Emmy Cosce <fish4m825@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, May 3, 2021 10:44 PM
To: Janet Calderon
Subject: Letter of support for growing cannabis on ones own property

Hello,

My name is Emmy Cosce. I live on Lydia Lane.

I am hoping that the council supports the rights of Clayton property owners to grow cannabis at their own homes. We should be able to grow cannabis both indoors or outdoors in our yards.

Knowing that the cities that surround Clayton allow cannabis to be grown naturally outdoors should give us all the information we need. Crime rates have not increased because cannabis is growing in backyards.

Thank you,
Emmy cosce

Sent from my iPad

Janet Calderon

From: Karen Case <karenacase@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 4, 2021 9:03 AM
To: Janet Calderon
Subject: City Council Meeting

Hello:

I have a question for the council for tonight's meeting. I don't need to be live for the webinar so please just submit these questions.

Our surrounding open spaces are extremely dry and are susceptible to fire. I suspect that any fires that start in our area would quickly threaten Clayton's homes.

What can the council share about fire or police efforts to prevent arson?

Are parts of our nearby park systems being closed due to possible arson or accidental fire?

Are there other hazardous activities that can be curtailed to prevent accidental fire?

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Karen Case
Clayton, CA

Janet Calderon

From: Martha Lynne <lizarzaburumarta@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 4, 2021 12:17 PM
To: Janet Calderon
Subject: Support for Clayton residents to grow outdoors

Hello this is Martha Lizarzaburu, I wanted to express my support for all residents being able to grow cannabis outdoors in the city of Clayton. It is allowed by neighboring cities and there are many residents who rely on the proven medical benefits, many of whom are a part of our senior community.

Thank You,
Martha Lizarzaburu

Janet Calderon

From: msbooks2 <msbooks2@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, May 4, 2021 4:27 PM
To: Janet Calderon
Subject: May 4 City Council Meeting and Report on Library Hours : PUBLIC COMMENT

1) The Standard 40 hours allows for only one day of evening hours until 8 p.m. and no Sunday Hours. This plan in no way serves the residents of the Clayton community.

2) For six additional hours it would provide 2 additional evenings until 8 p.m. but no Sunday hours. Better Library service.

3) Clayton has always taken great pride in the extensive use of Library volunteers known as "the Clayton Model". However the new plan could eliminate the use of volunteers.

4) No Clayton Community Library Foundation Board members were ever given notification of this new plan. One of our members discovered it accidentally on Next Door where it was posted by Council member Wan.

The Clayton Community Library Foundation was instrumental in getting a Library in Clayton and has continued to support our Library for 25+ years.

Jeanne Boyd, President CCLF

Joyce Atkinson, First Vice-President CCLF